IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 13794 of 2005

Between:

G. Naveen Reddy, S/o Late G. Veera Reddy,
Zaheerabad Depot, R/o Bodmatpally, Tekmal (M),
Medak District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The APSRTC, Rep. by its Vice Chairman & Managing Director,
Mushsirabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Medak Region, at Sangareddy,
Medak District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Zaheerabad, Medak District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the Respondents herein in not paying the due death benefits of the petitioner's father Late Sri G. Veera Reddy, E-251808, Conductor of Zaheerabad Depot is illegal, unjust and arbitrary and as such liable to be set aside by directing the Respondents herein to pay the death benefits of the petitioner along with compassionate appointment to the petitioner forthwith and pass such other order or orders as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.M.SUBHAN

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD

The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not paying the terminal benefits of his father, late Sri G.Veera Reddy, who died in service as Conductor of the respondent Corporation.

2. According to the petitioner, his father - late Sri G.Veera Reddy worked as a Conductor at Zaheerabad Depot under the control of the third respondent. It is stated that his father was initially appointed as a Conductor in the respondent Corporation in the year 1988 and on the allegation of certain irregularities, he was removed from service in the year 1989 but reinstated on 30.08.2001. But, his father died on 07.11.2004, while he was in service. Therefore, the petitioner made a representation for payment of terminal benefits of his father. But, however, the respondent did not pass any orders. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. When this matter came up for admission on 28.06.2005, it was adjourned to 06.07.2005 directing the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents to get instructions. In fact, the matter was not listed on 06.07.2005 but only listed today i.e., 11.07.2005. But, however, the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent Corporation could not get any instructions and represented that the matter may be disposed of directing the respondents to pass appropriate orders for releasing the terminal benefits.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation.

5. As the petitioner made representation for release of terminal benefits of his father G.Veera Reddy, who died on 17.11.2004 while he was in service, the respondents are liable to release the terminal benefits to which the petitioner and other legal heirs are entitled to in accordance with law.

6. Hence, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to pass appropriate orders for releasing the terminal benefits of the petitioner's father in favour of the petitioner and other legal heirs, expeditiously, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

S.Ananda Reddy, J

Date: 11.07.2005

va

To

1 The APSRTC, Rep. by its Vice Chairman & Managing Director, Mushsirabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Medak Region, at Sangareddy, Medak District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Zaheerabad, Medak District.

4 Two CD Copies